

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/039,199		01/04/2002	Jen-inn Chyi	JLINP081 2021	
25920	7590	04/01/2003			
MARTINE & PENILLA, LLP				EXAMINER	
710 LAKEWAY DRIVE SUITE 170				LOUIE, W	'AI SING
SUNNYVA	LE, CA	94085		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2814	
				DATE MAILED: 04/01/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\overline{}$
•	10/039,199	CHYI, JEN-INN	/
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Wai-Sing Louie	2814	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app			
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO a, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rly (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			S
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-23</u> is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-23</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers	r election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept		the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		• •	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in A	Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	·		\n\
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic			ווע.
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting the companion of the foreign language pro 	· •		
Attachment(s)	, , ,		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/039,199

Art Unit: 2814

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 11-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Weber et al. (US Pub. 2002/0005566).

With regard to claims 11 and 21, Weber et al. disclose a method of fabricating a Group III nitride thin film structure ([0017] to [0046] and fig. 2) comprising the step of:

- Providing a substrate [0019];
- Forming a metal layer on the substrate by supplying an organic metal gas [0020]
 & [0043];
- Forming a metallic nitride layer by supply a nitride gas to react with part of the metal layer ([0021] & [0031] and fig. 2).

With regard to claims 12 and 22 and in according to claim 11 above, Weber et al. disclose the substrate is made of sapphire [0029].

With regard to claims 13, 15,19, and 23 and in according to claim 11 above, Weber et al. disclose the metal layer is a Group III metal, which could be aluminum, gallium, and indium [0026].

Application/Control Number: 10/039,199

Art Unit: 2814

With regard to claims 14, 16, and 20 and in according to claim 11 above, Weber et al. disclose the metallic nitride layer could be aluminum, gallium, and indium nitride [0027].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weber et al. (US Pub. 2002/0005566).

With regard to claims 17-18 and in according to claim 11 above, Weber et al. disclose the pure metal layer formed on the substrate is a Group III metal [0020] & [0026], but do not disclose the metal layer is a boron layer. However, boron is a Group III metal. Thus, it would have been obvious that boron could be used as a pure metal buffer layer and the Group III metallic nitride deposited on top of the boron metal buffer layer could be a boron nitride.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wai-Sing Louie whose telephone number is (703) 305-0474. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

Art Unit: 2814

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (703) 308-4918. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

LONG PHAM EXAMINEP

wsl

March 23, 2003